

**SUPREME COURT MINUTES  
THURSDAY, MARCH 20, 2002  
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA**

**S105271**

B145238 Second Appellate District,  
Division Four

PEOPLE v. HERNANDEZ

Opinion filed: Judgment reversed

and remanded to the Court of Appeal for further proceedings consistent with this opinion.

Majority Opinion by Chin, J.  
--- joined by George, C.J., Kennard, Baxter, Brown, Moreno, JJ.  
Concurring Opinion by Werdegar, J.

**S113219**

H021472 Sixth Appellate District

PEOPLE v. WILSON

Time extended to grant or deny review

to May 2, 2003

**S112443**

A095412 First Appellate District,  
Division Four

PEOPLE v. TAYLOR

Extension of time granted

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file Respondent's Opening Brief on the Merits is extended to and including April 18, 2003.  
No further extensions of time will be granted.

**S108099**

B123992 Second Appellate District,  
Division Four

WHITE v. DAVIS (as Governor, etc. et al.)

Order filed

The court has received appellants' joint application to split oral argument into three segments and for the allocation of five additional minutes for oral argument. Appellants' request for additional time for oral argument is denied. The court is primarily interested in hearing argument on the questions whether the preliminary injunction was properly issued in this case and whether state or federal law authorizes the Controller to pay state employees who do not work

overtime their full regular salary in the absence of the enactment of an applicable appropriation, and it expects all counsel who argue in this case to be prepared to address these points and to answer questions directed to these issues. On that understanding, the request to divide appellants' 30 minutes of oral argument into three segments of 10 minutes each is granted. If appellants wish to reconsider the division of time in light of this order, appellants should advise the court of that circumstance on or before March 26, 2003.

The application of amicus curiae Frederick S. Mayer for permission to present oral argument is denied. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 29.2(g).)